# Comments on The US Current Account and the Dollar by Blanchard, Giavazzi, and Sa

Andrew K. Rose
UC Berkeley, CEPR and NBER

# A fine paper!

- Good topic
- Nice model
  - o Especially inclusion of valuation effects
- Reasonable assumptions
- Appropriate caveats

# **Bottom line eminently plausible**

- Bearish on dollar
- Fiscal adjustment AND depreciation needed
- Going to the dentist sooner is easier than later

#### Of course ...

• Ambitiousness necessarily implies vulnerability

# Still,

• Most questions are small/technical/unimportant

# **Comments in Passing**

• A paper seemingly written for/by US macroeconomists?

o (exchange rate is not price of foreign exchange)

# Is it Possible to Discuss these issues sensibly without

# **Addressing Mystery #1?**

• Why is long American interest rate so low and falling?

## **Doubts about Imperfect Asset Substitutability**

- Good: Model works well in quantities
  - o Home bias
- Bad: Model works poorly in prices
  - o UIP deviations not linked empirically to shares/debt
  - o A long history of failure, e.g., Frankel (1982)
  - o Profession gave up on this in part because of evidence

## **Asset Preferences and Expected Long Run Depreciation**

- Plausible to argue that asset preferences aren't more affected by expected paths and history?
- Will foreign investors take slow, steady depreciation of their \$ assets?
  - o 56% (p 23) is a **large** number and exchange rates often move too much in short-run (for unknown reasons)
  - op13: key that American liabilities in \$

## **Perhaps Not an Issue**

- In practice, almost all movements to floating exchange rates unexpected
- Deterministic trends swamped in practice
- Includes big movements, which are sharp and usually unexpected (Figure 4)
- So trend may be there but very hard to detect

#### **Bilateral Current Account Balances and Wealth**

#### **Reallocations**

- Unusual to see bilateral accounts in macroeconomics
  - o Usually only multilateral accounts matter
    - Solow's barber
  - o Financial intermediation through third countries

irrelevant?

#### **US Trade Deficit 2004**

• BGS: \$652 bn

ohalf China (\$160 bn)

o one-quarter each: Euro area (\$71bn), Japan (\$75bn)

oignore half the deficit! (\$346 bn)!

- **Data** (ERP Table 105):
  - o\$641 billion total
    - China \$153 bn
    - Euro \$84 bn
    - Japan \$76 bn

## But also

- Canada \$69 bn
- Mexico \$46bn

o Anti-North American bias!

More seriously, ignoring RoW means ignoring:

- "Fear of Floating"
- Countries with strong \$ preferences
  - Latin America, E Asia all historically resist \$depreciation actively
  - o Yen also actively managed from time to time (Ito)
  - o More adjustment possible in \$/Euro?

# **Dooley-Garber on Future**

• India, Indonesia, etc. lining up if China's "development through undervaluation" is successful

#### **Bottom Line**

- Thorough, reasonable paper HIGHLY worth reading
- Agree with major conclusions
- Especially conclusion that large \$ depreciation not a catastrophe
  - o Ditto loss of \$ as anchor/reserve currency
    - Unlikely even in long run,
    - Not critical anyway (seigniorage small)