What do we Learn about Contagion Channels

From Decomposing Country Debt Portfolios?

**Comments on Chinn and Forbes** 

Andrew K. Rose

**UC Berkeley, NBER and CEPR** 

#### **Good Stuff!**

• An enormous effort in terms of both data and estimation

o Complementary to Chinn-Forbes (2003) on Equity

- Comprehensive coverage of different channels for contagion
  - o Do trade (direct and indirect), bank lending and FDI simultaneously
  - o Nice (for Eichengreen-Rose and Glick-Rose) that trade does well.
  - o Mystery that indirect (third-country) trade does so poorly.

### **Disappointments**

- Basically weak empirical results on channels of transmission
  - o Very unstable results
  - o "Old-fashioned" channels message somewhat hard to believe for many
- Would like even more channels (market cross-holdings)
  - o Severe data problems

# **Concern #1: Country Factors**

- How important is the omission of country factors from firststage empirical model?
  - o May be critical to purge all common shocks in first stage; otherwise common shocks will look like contagion
    - Would country factors be correlated with contagion?
      Open question in practice.

• Long international finance tradition implies that country factors are critical (often dominate sectoral/international factors)

Solnik (p 130): "The behavior of the domestic market is by far the most important factor affecting individual stock returns; on the average, this factor explains 42% ... world and industrial factors explain 18% and 23% ..."

- o Can be remedied by shifting to firm-level data, adding country effects (Forbes, 2000)
- o This mostly an equity result; debt less certain

# **Concern #2: Frequency**

- High frequency makes global factors (oil, gold, ...) in first stage all financial; no macroeconomic variables (inflation, output, etc.) exist at all.
  - o Good for "denominator" issues, worse for numerators
  - o One reason for second stage fit problems?

#### **Concern #3: Quality of Debt Prices**

- Many bonds even in large markets are traded infrequently;
  illiquidity implies stale prices
  - o More of an issue outside US, especially LDCs?
  - o But perhaps many large movements (crises), so measurement error unimportant in practice.

#### **Minor Issues**

• Would like more controls in second stage

o Exchange Rate Regime?

• Some over-kill with the tech, given weak results